

### United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/779,944	02/08/2001	Mike Binnard	PA0325-US / 11269.22	4142	
75	90 01/24/2003				
STEVEN G. ROEDER THE LAW OFFICE OF STEVEN G. ROEDER 5560 Chelsea Avenue			EXAMINER		
			KIM, PETER B		
La Jolla, CA 9	2037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2851		
			DATE MAILED: 01/24/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		licant(s)	
	Application No.	BINNARD ET AL.	
•	09/779,944	Art Unit	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	2851	//
Office 21	Peter B. Kim	h the correspondence a	ddress
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover shoet w		4.4
The MAILING DATE OF THE	WO SET TO EXPIRE 3 MG	ONTH(S) FROM	
The MAILING DATE of this communication of the co	ply within the statutory minimum of third d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become Al ling date of this communication, even if	y (30) days will be obtained attended the ITHS from the mailing date of the	nely. s communication.
Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·		,, i-
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice unit		atters, prosecution as C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	to the merits is
Dienosition of Claims	ation		
4) Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.		
4a) Of the above claim(s)			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	36 and 38-41 is/are rejected	d.	
6) Claim(s) 1-3,8,9,11,16-21,24-27,021	d 37 is/are objected to.		
6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,8,9,11,16-21,24-27,32,33,39</u> 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-7,10,12-15,22,23,28-31,34 an</u> 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement	•	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	a110/01		
Application Papers	aminer.	Evamin	er.
	are: a)☐ accepted or b)⊠ ob	jected to by the Examina	1 85(a).
9) The specification is objected to 9) The drawing(s) filed on 22 June 2001 is/a  Applicant may not request that any objection filed or	on to the drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 Of K	Examiner.
Annlicant may not requosit	is a)   approved t	الماران الماران	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed of	ed in reply to this Office action		
If approved, corrected drawings are require	the Examiner.		
- th or declaration is objected to a	•		s\
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	er foreign priority under 35 L	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (	)·
Acknowledgment is made of	Of Hotelday Sugary		
	ocuments have been received	red in Application No	
Cortified copies of the priority		re been received in ""	S National Staye
1. Certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office action.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the foreign large contaction of the foreign large.	" " (DCT Rule 1	1.2(a))·	
the ettached detailed Office	· ······ndor 3!	1150.9 (100)	F. 5.
* See the attached solution of the foreign lar  a)  The translation of the foreign lar	aguage provisional applicati	on has been received.	r 121.
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign lar  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. 99 120 andre	
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim			413) Paper No(s)
Attachment(S)	4)	Interview Summary (PTO- Notice of Informal Patent	Application (PTO-152)
FZ tion of References Cited (PTO-892)		Other:	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			Part of Paper No. 4
3) [_] Inioimadon 5.5	Office Action Summary		

Application/Control Number: 09/779,944

Art Unit: 2851

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Drawings

The drawings are objected to because the description on page 6, lines 20 and 21 and Figure 1 do not seem to correspond to each other. It is not clear which structure is referenced by numbers 48A and 48B. It is not clear why 48B has two components while 48A only has one component. The description of stage mover assembly 16, and drawings representing the stage mover assembly are not clear. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The description of stage mover assembly 16, and drawings representing the stage mover assembly are not clear. According to the written description on page 7, line 17, the guide assembly 46 moves the mover housing along the X axis, but Figure 1 seems to indicate that the guide assembly moves the housing along the Y axis.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international

Art Unit: 2851

application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 11, 16-21, 24-27, 32, 33, 35, 36, 38-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tokuda et al. (Tokuda) (6,493,062).

Tokuda discloses a device stage and a method of making a device stage assembly that moves a device (6) relative to a mounting base (1), the device stage assembly comprising a device stage that retains the device (33), a mover housing (2), a support assembly that moves the device stage relative to the mover housing, the support assembly including at least four, spaced apart Z device stage movers (38) that are connected to the device stage and a control system (30) that controls the X device stage movers to inhibit vibration which causes deformation of the device stage using a feedforward control by monitoring the movement of the stage (col. 13, lines 16-34). Tokuda's invention also inhibit dynamic deformation caused by repulsive force due to step and scan exposure, and the static deformation caused every time wafer and reticle stages move (col. 1, lines 27-36). Tokuda also discloses an exposure apparatus with an irradiation apparatus (Fig. 1 and 2) including the device stage assembly. Tokuda further discloses the device or a wafer manufactured with the exposure apparatus (Fig. 5 and 6). Tokuda also discloses the method of determining a driving force that inhibits deformation and providing the driving force to the stage to cause the movement of the stage (col. 13, lines 16-56).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 09/779,944 Page 4

Art Unit: 2851

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8, 9, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tokuda et al. (Tokuda) in view of Yonekawa et al. (Yonekawa) (6,330,052).

Tokuda discloses the claimed invention as discussed above. However, Tokuda does not disclose a sensor for monitoring the bending of the stage. Yonekawa discloses in col. 9, lines 40-45, col. 8, lines 19-24 and col. 11, lines 20-46 a sensor for monitoring the bending of the stage. Because Tokuda discloses using a feedforward control by monitoring the movement of the stage to minimize the deformation of the stages it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the bending sensor of Yonekawa to the invention of Tokuda to control the movers to minimize the deformation based on the result of the sensors.

Claims 16, 17, 26, and 27 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Iwamoto. Iwamoto discloses a device and a wafer which appears to be nearly the same as the device and the wafer claimed (Fig. 8 and 9).

According to MPEP 2113, "the lack of physical description in a product-by-process claim makes determination of the patentability of the claim more difficult, since in spite of the fact that the claim may recite only process limitations, it is the patentability of the product claimed and not of the recited process steps which must be established. Therefore when the prior art discloses a product which reasonably appears to be either identical with or only slightly different than a product claimed in a product-by-process claim, a rejection based alternatively on either section 102 or section 103 of the statute is eminently fair and acceptable. As a practical matter, the Patent Office is not equipped to manufacture products by the myriad of processes put before

Application/Control Number: 09/779,944

Art Unit: 2851

it and then obtain prior art products and make physical comparisons therewith." In re Brown, 459 F.2d 531, 535, 173 USPQ 685, 688 (CCPA 1972).

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-7, 10, 12-15, 22, 23, 28-31, 34, and 37 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

None of the prior art of record teaches or discloses a stage assembly that moves a device relative to a mounting base comprising a device stage, a mover housing, a support assembly with four Z device stage movers and a control system that controls the Z device to inhibit deformation wherein the Z device stage movers are used to adjust eh position of the stage, and move the stage about a X axis and a Y axis, including a stage mover assembly connected the mover housing which moves the mover housing; An exposure apparatus with the device stage assembly comprising a stage base that supports eh mover housing and a base support assembly that moves the stage base relative the mounting base, and a base support assembly including four Z base movers or an apparatus frame that supports a portion of the device stage assembly above the mounting base and a frame support assembly including four spaced apart Z frame movers.

None of the prior art of record teaches or discloses a method for making a device stage assembly with steps of providing a device stage; a mover, connecting a support assembly and connecting a controller with the plurality of spaced apart Z device stage movers wherein the Z device stage movers adjust the position of the device stage about a X axis and a Y axis or connecting to X and Y device stage mover which move the device stage about a Z axis.

### Page 6

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Kim whose telephone number is (703) 305-0105. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays during the same hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Russ Adams, can be reached on (703) 308-2847. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Peter B. Kim

Patent Examiner

January 17, 2003